The species barrier for the transmission of prion diseases can be overcome by generating a transgenic species (here in the center a mouse that expresses hamster prion). This is confirmed by the shortening of the incubation time for infection with the prion of the foreign species: dashed arrows indicate low or no susceptibility, whereas fat arrows imply high susceptibility for prion diseases.
Prion diseases are currently in the spotlight. Among them, the Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease in humans, scrapie in sheep, and bovine spongiform encephalopathy, or mad cow disease, are most commonly known. The term "spongiform" refers to the characteristic appearance of the lesions found in affected brains. It is likely that prion diseases originate from a causative agent that replicates independently of nucleic acids. Current research assumes that a structural isoform of prion protein, the scrapie form PrP<sub>Sc</sub>, is the responsible pathogen. The three-dimensional structure, but not the amino acid sequence of the isoform differs from that of the normal cellular isoform, PrP<sub>c</sub>. According to a widely accepted hypothesis, the normal isoform of the protein is converted by an autacatalytic process into the scrapie form upon contact with the latter. This hypothesis has not yet been proven. However, considerable progress has been made in the last few years, which might provide answers to many open questions about prion diseases, the subject of this review.
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1. Introduction

The "tin pest" is a remarkable phenomenon, which shows some analogies to an even more remarkable disease, the transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE). TSEs are thought to be transmitted through prions, which are infectious proteinaceous particles included in fibrillous plaques in the brains of affected species. This infectious agent is probably a structural isoform of the normal cellular form, which is expressed by the host organism. This pathogen enters the host organism upon infection, finds its way to the brain, forces the cellular isoform to change its structural conformation, and converts more and more of the physiological molecules into the deadly version. The phenomenon "tin pest" appears to proceed with striking similarity: From the molten state tin solidifies as the "normal" metallic β-tin in which every Sn atom is surrounded by six other Sn atoms to form a distorted octahedron. At temperatures below 13°C the metallic β-tin can transform into a nonmetallic isoform, the cubic α-tin, a grey powder. Normally, this transition occurs at an infinitely slow rate. However, if the β-tin is "infected" by microscopically small particles of α-tin, the latter can act as crystal seeds for the conversion of the metallic into the powdery isoform. This damaging transformation, which in case of very valuable tinware is particularly vexatious—think only of the famous Viennese "Kapuzinergruft"—spreads like an infectious disease. The name "tin pest" thus illustrates this phenomenon quite vividly. A large amount, if not all, of the infectious protein particles consists of PrP<sub>Sc</sub> (abbreviation for prion protein scrapie). The amino acid sequence and charge distribution of PrP<sub>Sc</sub> is identical to that of the noninfectious isoform of this protein, the cellular prion protein PrP<sub>c</sub>. A significant amount of the infectious particles consists of PrP<sub>27–30</sub>, which is generated from the precursor PrP<sub>Sc</sub> by amino-terminal proteolysis. The infectious PrP<sub>Sc</sub> or PrP<sub>27–30</sub> is assumed to transform the cellular PrP<sub>c</sub> by a yet unknown mechanism into PrP<sub>Sc</sub>. In this way, PrP<sub>Sc</sub> propagates and finally induces the disease. The difference between PrP<sub>c</sub> and PrP<sub>Sc</sub> might be reflected in different tertiary structures; PrP<sub>Sc</sub> possibly acts as a "seed" for the conversion of the regular cellular form, PrP<sub>c</sub>, into the scrapie isoform, PrP<sub>Sc</sub>, by some unknown autacatalytic process. Prions are thus unique pathogens of an infectious disease, because their propagation functions without the information contained in a nucleic acid but rather...
seems to be solely determined by the amino acid sequence or tertiary structure of these proteins.

In this review we summarize the current state of prion research. The latest hypotheses concerning the replication of the pathogens of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies are presented and critically analyzed.

2. Pathology of Prion Diseases

2.1. Pathological Aberrations

The bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) is the most commonly known form of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE) because of its epidemic occurrence in Britain. As a matter of fact, this kind of neurodegenerative disorder can occur in almost every mammalian species (Table 1). One of the most remarkable characteristics of TSEs is the unusually long incubation period. For example, the time between infection and the first appearance of symptoms can be as long as 15 years in humans. The disease was therefore related to the “slow virus diseases” in the sixties. According to the current state of research, however, it seems unlikely that the spongiform encephalopathies are caused by viral infections (see Section 2.2.1). Because the immune system does not respond to the infection, the infected organism is defenseless against the pathological changes. TSEs are neurodegenerative diseases. Consequently they display their destructive potential in the brain of the affected species. Neuropathologists diagnose TSE if the three following pathological changes are seen: 1) a spongiform change of the cortex (Figure 1), which perforates the brain, 2) a pathological proliferation of glia cells (gliosis), and 3) a loss of neuronal cells linked to the deposition of an insoluble isoform of the prion protein “PrPsc”. This pathological morphology in the brain of a CJD patient, for example, is accompanied by drastic disorders of body functions. In the EEG periodic anomalies are seen. After the appearance of the first symptoms such as

Table 1. Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scrapie</td>
<td>sheep, goat, mouse</td>
<td>infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSE[a]</td>
<td>cattle</td>
<td>infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSE[b]</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TME[c]</td>
<td>mink</td>
<td>infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWD[d]</td>
<td>mule, deer, elk</td>
<td>infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJD[e]</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>sporadic, genetic, infection (iatrogenic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSS[f]</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>genetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFI[g]</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>genetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuru</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>infection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Thin sections of the cortex of a healthy brain (a) and of the brain of a patient who died of CJD (b). The perforation of the cortex (spongiosis means sponge-like changes) is clearly seen in this figure. We are grateful to H. A. Kretzschmar (Institute of Neuropathology, Göttingen) for providing these images.

amnesia, visual defects, and motoric malfunctions, the disease inevitably leads to death within a few months after progressive dementia. No therapeutic approaches to cure the disease exist; reliable diagnosis in a living organism is difficult.

2.2. The Pathogen

2.2.1. The Virino Hypothesis

TSEs show a number of symptoms that can be attributed to a disease caused by a viral infection. For the most commonly used mouse line C57Bl/6, for example, at least eight different TSE strains are known, which differ in specific attributes such as incubation period, nature and distribution of defects, and some other biochemical characteristics. The incubation periods differ when genetically identical (isogenic) mice are infected with isolated samples of different scrapie strains. These strains are passed by infection from animal to animal without loss of their specific symptoms. This strain specificity within one species would argue for inheritable information and for genetic elements that contribute to the reproductive process. On the other hand, none of the experiments to isolate a virus responsible for TSE infections have yet been successful. A virus usually consists of a protein and a nucleic acid portion. The protein part protects the viral genome against external chemical and mechanical influence and in some cases helps the virus to invade the host cell. The nucleic acid represents the genetic material and therefore the infectious potential. It encodes for those proteins required for the replication of the virus in the host. Today, only a few scientists support the hypothesis of a viral transmission of TSEs (Figure 2A). For many years, the protagonists of the virino hypothesis—above all Laura Manuelidis (Yale Medical School) and Heino Diringer (Robert-Koch Institut, Berlin)—have tried to identify such a virus without success. Diringer believes to have morphological evidence for the existence of such a virus, because in his laboratory small, symmetrical structures could be detected by electron microscopy in the brains of scrapie-infected hamsters. These particles, which can not be detected in control tissues of healthy organisms, have a diameter of 10–12 nm. If these structures were indeed viruses, they would be much smaller than the smallest known virus, the porcine circo virus, which is 17 nm in diameter. The smallest known pathogens are viroids, small circular RNA molecules about 300 nucleotides in length, which infect plants. The group of Detlef Riesner in Düsseldorf has carried out a systematic search for such nucleic acids in infectious scrapie samples. They were able to identify nucleic acids in infected preparations, but their maximum length was restricted to 80 nucleotides. The genome of such a hypothetical virus or viroid would thus be considerably smaller than that of all other known viruses. Consequently, Riesner’s interpreta-

Figure 2. Models for prion propagation. A) The virino model assumes that the scrapie pathogen consists of a TSE-specific nucleic acid and the proteinase-resistant form of the prion protein PrP". The actual infectious agent, the not yet identified nucleic acid (red wavy line), is protected by packaging with PrP" (blue), which has a low solubility and is extremely resistant. The virino particle invades the cell (possibly by receptor-mediated endocytosis; the putative receptor is shown in violet) and releases the nucleic acid. This nucleic acid is replicated by means of the cellular replication machinery, and the descendant molecules can associate with the cellular PrP to build new virinos. PrP" (green spheres), which is encoded and synthesized by the host cell, is thereby converted into PrP". B) The “protein-only” model assumes that the TSE pathogen multiplies without participation of nucleic acids. The infectious particle, the prion, is identical with PrP". According to this model, exogenous PrP" invades the cell (the participation of a specific receptor is likely) and converts the cellular PrP" by direct interaction with PrP". The newly generated PrP" is infectious by itself and is able to convert residual PrP into PrP" in an autocatalytic cycle.
2.2. The “Protein-Only” Hypothesis

The fact that no TSE virus could be detected so far is, of course, no proof that such a virus does not exist. How difficult the identification of pathogens of viral diseases can be was demonstrated, for example, by the search for a hepatitis C pathogen. It took ten years of intensive research to identify it as a virus. But there is other clear evidence that nucleic acids are unlikely to be involved in the replication of TSE pathogens. Tikvah Alper et al. recognized in the sixties already that scrapie samples are resistant to nucleases and UV irradiation. Usually, nucleic acids are inactivated under such conditions. Even more remarkable than these results was the fact that the scrapie pathogens lost their infectivity when they were treated with protein-denaturing agents like 8M urea or phenol. This unusual feature of the scrapie pathogen led to the first—very cautious—speculation about the existence of infectious proteins as indicated by the title of Alper et al.’s paper: “Does the scrapie agent replicate without nucleic acid?” At that time this unorthodox hypothesis could not make headway against the conventional knowledge about infectious diseases. How might an infectious agent replicate in the host without nucleic acids? Can a protein replicate itself? The classical dogma of molecular biology—the flow of genetic information from nucleic acid to protein—seems to be violated.

In 1967 J. S. Griffith was the first to suggest a possible mechanism for a self-replicating protein, which caused scrapie. Stanley Prusiner of UCSF supported and extended this hypothesis by a series of experiments, which clearly showed a correlation between a protein and the observed infectivity. For example, Prusiner’s group demonstrated for the first time that the infectivity of the samples increased when a specific protein contained in the samples was enriched. The concentration of this protein was found to be proportional to the titer of the infectivity in the animal. On the basis of these results Prusiner developed the “protein-only” hypothesis. He dubbed this new kind of pathogen as “prion”, which is a short form for proteinaceous infectious particle. The purification and thorough biochemical examination of this remarkable protein from the brains of affected animals was achieved by Prusiner’s group after several years of intensive research. The continuing characterization of the pathogen resulted in the surprising discovery that the pathogenic protein possesses a cellular homologue. Together with the group of Charles Weissmann at the ETH Zürich they were able to clone and sequence the host gene (Prn-p) which encodes for PrP. This cellular prion protein, designated as PrPe, is expressed in every normal mammal—mainly in the brain—without causing any harm to the organism. Today we know that the prion protein plays a dual biochemical role. On one hand it exists as the normal cellular form PrPe (c for cellular); on the other hand, it can exist as a pathogenic and possibly infectious isoform PrPSc (sometimes PrPrest: Sc for Scrapie, res for proteinase K resistant). The PrPSc leads to death of the affected organism, whereas the biological significance of the PrPe form is still unknown (see Section 5.3.2). A very strong argument for the protein-only hypothesis was provided by Weissmann and his co-workers, who generated transgenic mice whose Prn-p gene was destroyed so that they no longer expressed PrP (see Section 5.3). These so-called “PrP-knockout mice” were resistant to scrapie infections. Without any doubt, the long-accepted hypothesis that the amino acid sequence of a protein is the only determinant for the biologically relevant 3D structure is contradicted by the protein-only hypothesis.

Although the arguments for the protein-only hypothesis are quite compelling, a final experimental proof of the infectivity of the prion protein is still missing. The advocates of the protein-only hypothesis interpret this lack of proof as a simple preparative problem, because in purified samples only one of 105 PrPres molecules is infectious, enrichment of infectious portions is thus correspondingly difficult. Critics of the protein-only hypothesis claim that the formation of proteinase K resistant PrPtrans is just a concomitant phenomenon, a pathological product of the infection by a not yet identified virus. This argument can be supported by experiment as well. Lasmézas et al. recently reported that mice infected with BSE samples indeed showed symptoms similar to TSE, but in 55% of the cases they could not detect proteinase K resistant PrPtrans in the brains of the test animals. These and other results show that the prion protein undoubtedly plays a central role for the pathogenesis of TSE, but until today it has not been proven that it represents the only infectious agent.

3. PrPtrans and PrPSc: Differences and Common Features

The prion protein seems to be of considerable importance for the appearance of spongiform encephalopathies. In mammals as well as in some avian species the protein is expressed mainly in the brain. The prion protein is assumed to play an important biological role as is the case for many other highly conserved proteins. Various recent evidence indicates that it is important for the normal physiological function of the synapses and for the long-term stability of Purkinje neurons (huge dendritic ganglial cells in the mid-layer of the cerebellum cortex), as well as for the regulation of circadian rhythms and sleep patterns (see Section 5.3.2 for more details).

The following statements about the composition and structure of the prion protein refer to the Syrian golden hamster, unless otherwise stated. This species is particularly suited for experimental research into TSEs, because the incubation period is only 70 days and correlates exactly with the dosage of the infectivity of the samples. According to present knowledge the characteristics of hamster PrP can be transferred with only minor modifications to PrP of other mammalian species.

3.1. Posttranslational Modifications and Infectivity

The PrP coding sequence is located entirely within an exon of the unique Prn-p gene. Therefore, different splicing variants of PrP mRNA can be excluded. Translation of PrP mRNA leads to a PrP precursor protein of 254 amino acids in length (Figure 3). Posttranslational modification then leads to the removal of an amino-terminal signal peptide of 22 amino acids (amino acid...
The differences in the solubility of PrP<sup>e</sup> and PrP<sup>Sc</sup> might reflect differences in the secondary or tertiary structure of the two isoforms. Spectroscopic data obtained by circular dichroism (CD),<sup>[51]</sup> Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR),<sup>[52]</sup> and mass spectrometry (MS)<sup>[53]</sup> indeed provided evidence for marked differences in the secondary structure of PrP<sup>e</sup> and PrP<sup>Sc</sup>. PrP<sup>e</sup> shows a 42% α-helical content and only 3% β-sheets, whereas PrP<sup>Sc</sup> has 30% α-helical and 45% β-sheet con-
These studies indicated that during conversion about half of the α-helical portions in PrP\(^\alpha\) are converted into β-sheets of PrP\(^\text{Sc}\).\(^{52, 55}\) The mechanism by which the PrP\(^\alpha\) is unfolded and then changed into PrP\(^\text{Sc}\), however, is unknown. It is possible that the change in protein structure associated with this process requires a high activation energy.\(^{51}\)

Computer models of the three-dimensional structure of the cellular PrP\(^\alpha\) have been carried out with techniques of structure prediction such as the Chou–Fasman method or the Garnier–Osguthorpe–Robson algorithm.\(^{56}\) To obtain a structural model for the Scrapie isoform, the aforesaid spectroscopic data as well as comparative analyses of the genes of various species were considered. According to this model, PrP\(^\text{Sc}\) contains a helix bundle of four α-helices (H1 to H4; Figure 4B, 5A).

H1 is formed by amino acids 109–122, H2 by amino acids 129–141, H3 by amino acids 178–191, and H4 by amino acids 202–218 (Figure 4B and 5A). The algorithm did not provide a conclusive prediction for the region comprising amino acids 23–108 in which the prion proteins contain the characteristic five octapeptide sequences in tandem orientation. For PrP\(^\text{Sc}\), six different structural models are suggested from a list of 10\(^6\) initial structures. All six PrP\(^\text{Sc}\) models contain a four-stranded β-sheet structure which is covered by two α-helices on one side.\(^{57}\) Figure 5B shows a schematic representation of these six models. Among them, model 2 correlated best with the genetic data (see Fig.4A). Accordingly, the β-sheet regions S1 to S4 are flanked by amino acids 108–113/116–122 for S1a/S1b, 128–135/138–144 for S2a/S2b, 178–184/187–191 for S3a/S3b, and 202–210/213–218 for S4a/S4b. The location of the two helices H3 and H4 corresponds to that of helices H3 and H4 in PrP\(^\alpha\). As suggested by this structural model, helices H1 and H2 should each convert into two anti-parallel β-sheet structures during the structural conversion of PrP\(^\text{Sc}\) into PrP\(^\text{Sc}\).\(^{57}\)

In earlier experiments synthetic peptides of prion proteins have been examined for the aforesaid structural elements. Among four synthetic peptides which were equivalent to regions H1–H4, three exhibited only poor solubility in water. Spectroscopic data (FTIR, CD), as well as that from electron microscope images, indicated that they formed β-sheet structures and polymerized into fibrils.\(^{58, 59}\) In contrast, CD and NMR experiments performed in organic solvents such as hexafluoropropanol (HFIP) or detergents like sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) showed that not only H1 and H2, but also longer peptides containing these helical regions formed α-helices.\(^{60}\) It therefore seems quite plausible that synthetic peptides are able to model certain aspects of the conformational differences suggested for the prion protein isoforms.

Recently the three-dimensional structure of a domain of recombinant mouse PrP\(^\alpha\) was elucidated by NMR spectroscopy.\(^{61}\) To obtain the required large amounts of protein, the mouse PrP fragment composed of amino acids 121–231 was overexpressed in the periplasm of \textit{E. coli} cells.\(^{62}\) Originally, it was attempted to express murine PrP 108–231. However, the
expression resulted in proteolytic cleavage at amino acids 112, 118, and 120. As a consequence, the protein could be obtained in stable form only from position 121. Previous studies had shown that the segment 81–231 of mouse PrP is sufficient for prion propagation in these species, indicating that the carboxy-terminal region of the protein is functionally much more important than the amino terminal region.\[63\] The domain PrP 121–231 used for the determination of the NMR structure lacks an extended region of the N-terminus (fragment 81–120). It is thus currently not known whether this extended region is required for the pathology of the disease or not; this domain, however, contains the majority of certain point mutations that were associated with the appearance of familial (inherited) cases of human prion diseases (see Section 4.3.2).\[64\]

The secondary structure of PrP121–231 determined by NMR spectroscopy is characterized by three \( \alpha \)-helices as well as a two-stranded antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheet (Figure 4C). The helices are formed from amino acid residues 144–154, 179–193, and 200–217. A comparison with the structural model suggested previously\[56, 57\] shows that the location of H3 and H4 correlates almost exactly with that of the two C-terminal helices in the NMR studies (Figure 4). Both H2 (suggested to be formed by residues 129–141) and H1 (formed by amino acids 109–122) of the structural model are missing in the "NMR fragment". Instead, in the structure determined by NMR spectroscopy an extremely short antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheet structure not predicted by the structural model appeared at positions 128–131 and 161–164. This \( \beta \)-sheet domain in PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) might act as a seed during the conversion into PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\). Interestingly, the secondary structure deviates from the computer model mainly in the N-terminal region, which was heavily truncated in the fragment used for the NMR experiment (Figure 4). It remains to be seen whether the truncation of amino acids 23–120 results in significant structural changes within the N-terminus. At worst, essential elements of the prion protein may be located within this segment. The tertiary structure of PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\), determined by NMR spectroscopy, is dominated by a twisted, V-shaped arrangement of the two C-terminal helices in which the first \( \alpha \)-helix and the \( \beta \)-sheet is embedded (Figure 6).

A comparison of the three-dimensional structure of PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) with a corresponding structure of the infectious PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) or PrP 27–30 would be interesting. However, a high-resolution, three-dimensional structure determination of the infectious prion isoforms by crystal structure or by NMR spectroscopy in solution is difficult because of the insolubility of these proteins. A technique that might lead to a structure determination of the insoluble scrapie isoform PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) is solid-state NMR spectroscopy. However, this requires weighable amounts of \(^{13}\text{C}\)- or \(^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\), which is at present technically difficult.\[65\] First experiments in this direction have been carried out with a \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enriched H1 fragment of hamster PrP, consisting of amino acids 109–122 (sequence: MKHMAGAAAGAV).\[66\] This study provided additional evidence for the ability of H1 to convert from an \( \alpha \)-helical into a \( \beta \)-sheet structure. When the peptide was lyophilized from a solution of 50% acetonitrile/water, the chemical shifts in the solid-state NMR spectrum within region 112–121 were characteristic for \( \beta \)-sheet structures. Samples lyophilized from HFIP, on the other hand, showed chemical shifts which pointed to an \( \alpha \)-helical secondary structure in region 113–117. A complete conversion into the \( \alpha \)-helical form was not detected. Refolding of the \( \alpha \)-helical into the \( \beta \)-sheet form was obtained after dissolving the samples in water. These results are in accordance with experimental observations that PrP can exist in different conformations. Furthermore, they correlate with structural predictions based on biological data and theoretical models which attribute to H1 an important role in determining the conformational differences between PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) and PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\).

3.3. Models for the Mechanism of Prion Propagation

The next question concerns the mechanism by which the PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) propagates in the host cell without the involvement of nucleic acids. The protein-only hypothesis proceeds from the assumption that the PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) of the host cell is converted into PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) by the influence of exogenous PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) (which, for example, is taken in orally). The two currently competing models differ with respect to the (quaternary) structure of the infectious unit.

3.3.1. The Heterodimer Hypothesis

According to Prusiner's heterodimer hypothesis\[122]\) PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\)-PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) heterodimers are formed first. PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) is partially unfolded and then refolded under the influence of PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\), which results in the formation of a PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) homodimer (Figure 7A). The newly generated PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) can again induce the conversion of PrP\(^{\text{Sc}}\) in an
autocatalytic cycle. Under appropriate conditions newly formed PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} aggregates to furnish the fibrils or amyloid plaques that can be observed under the electron microscope. The energy barrier for the conversion of the PrP isoform is probably very high.\textsuperscript{151} The spontaneous forms of the disease therefore only appear at an advanced age, if they appear at all (see Figure 7A; dotted line). In hereditary forms the PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} formation can be autocatalytic in an autocatalytic process. Due to the high energy barrier of the conversion of PrP into PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} the spontaneous formation of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} is very unlikely (dotted line). B) According to the model of nucleation-dependent polymerization [72, 74] the infectious unit is a PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}-oligomer (blue), which serves as a seed for the polymerization of PrP into fibrillous aggregates of high molecular weight. Cellular PrP (green) can successively deposit on this seed and in the process adopt its structure (red). The polymer can be separated, and the seeds generated by this process can start the nucleation-dependent polymerization again. The appearance of different scrapie strains is explained by this model as follows: every (quaternary) structure of a seed “codes” the specific characteristics of different scrapie strains (here strain A and strain B).

### 3.3.2. Nucleation-Dependent Polymerization

The idea of a seedlike growth of prion polymers was already defined in 1990 by the group of D. Carleton Gajdusek.\textsuperscript{711} Later, Peter Lansbury et al. suggested an analogous mechanism\textsuperscript{72, 73} and, together with Byron Caughey, developed it into the nucleation-dependent polymerization model.\textsuperscript{74} This model differs from the heterodimer model by the assumption that an infectious unit consists of a PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} oligomer, which serves as a seed for the polymerization. Host PrP\textsuperscript{c} can successively deposit on this seed through a more or less unfolded transition state; on deposition it adopts the specific structure of the seed. In some kind of polymerization process, aggregated forms of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} of high molecular weight are formed (Figure 7B). These aggregates can be separated again into single seeds under external influences. The main difference between this model and the previous one is that the conformation of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} does not have to be an intrinsic attribute of a PrP monomer, as in the case of the heterodimer model. This difference is manifested by the experimental observation that so far no soluble, monomeric, protease-resistant, and unaggregated forms of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} have been found. According to the nucleation-dependent polymerization model, the PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} acquires these biophysical properties only as a high molecular weight aggregate of PrP. The propagation of specific scrapie strains can be explained by this model as follows: Like in a crystal the smallest infectious unit, the nucleus, carries the information of the structure of the whole. Therefore, a PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} oligomer can determine the structure of the polymeric PrP aggregate (or the kinetics of its formation) by the structure of the nucleus and thereby determine the particular characteristic pathologies of the various strains.

Manfred Eigen (MPI for Biophysikalische Chemie, Göttingen) recently published a detailed comparison of the two models from a kinetic point of view.\textsuperscript{751} According to Eigen, the key difference between the models is that the nucleation-dependent polymerization model does not require a catalytic mechanism. The growth of the “crystal” is favored by the free energy, while the crystal surface gives rise to the conversion of PrP. In contrast, Prusiner’s heterodimer model is based on an autocatalytic mechanism, but only if the dissociation of the PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} heterodimer is not the rate-limiting step. In the case of a slow dissociation the (auto)catalyst PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} would be regenerated incompletely, and the catalytic cycle would soon be terminated. A possible distinction could be the measurement of the growth rate of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}; an autocatalytic mechanism would show an exponential growth, whereas the crystallization would proceed with approximately the square of time.\textsuperscript{751}

### 3.4. In Vitro Conversion

In order to prove the heterodimer model, many laboratories tried hard to show a direct interaction of PrP\textsuperscript{c} with PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} and/or PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} in vitro. Proof of an in vitro conversion of PrP\textsuperscript{c} into PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} would confirm the protein-only hypothesis. These experiments, often designated as “key experiments” in prion research, which are based on the incubation of PrP\textsuperscript{c} with PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} in vitro, have failed so far. Neither was it possible to detect PrP\textsuperscript{c}/PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} heterodimers nor was it proven that PrP\textsuperscript{c} could be converted in...
vitro by addition of PrPSc. In mid 1994 Kocisko et al. reported for the first time the cell-free production of protease-resistant prion protein. They used protease K sensitive PrP, radio-labeled with 35S-methionine. The protein was incubated with protease K resistant, unlabeled PrPSc. In order to separate PrP from the potentially produced PrPSc the sample was treated with proteinase K. By gel electrophoresis and autoradiography they demonstrated that radioactive, protease K resistant PrPSc had been generated—an indication that PrPSc had emerged de novo from the labeled PrP (Figure 8). This experiment certainly provides necessary, but not sufficient proof for the in vitro conversion of PrPSc. That is because until now it has not been possible to show that protease K resistant material produced in vitro is indeed infectious. The protease K resistance does not necessarily correlate with the infectivity of PrPSc (see Section 3.1). In spite of this (still) missing evidence for the de novo generation of infectivity in vitro, one now has a model with which some phenomena of prion propagation can be simulated and examined. For example, even a strain-specific conversion in vitro has been demonstrated with this cell-free conversion system. For that purpose, the mink-specific scrapie form of one prion protein can probably be forced upon a single cellular prion protein in the cell-free conversion system. These conversion experiments, therefore, serve as a model for the propagation of different scrapie strains in vitro—an important addition or alternative to the transgenic animal model.

3.5. More Aspects of Strain-Specific Prion Propagation

Meanwhile, experiments were carried out on transgenic mouse models from which similar conclusions could be drawn to the ones of Bessen et al., who performed strain-specific in vitro conversion experiments. The mice for that experiment, abbreviated [Tg(MHu2M)], express a chimeric human–murine PrP gene. They were inoculated with protease K treated brain extracts from patients who had died from different forms of human TSE diseases. The extracts thus contained protease K resistant PrPSc fragments of two different human PrP strains, the sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (sCJD) and the familial fatal insomnia (FFI; for the different human TSE forms see Section 4.3). The protease K treated PrPSc strains of this sort show different fragment lengths after deglycosylation: because the strain of FFI has a size of 19 kDa and the sCJD fragment 21 kDa, the two fragments can be distinguished easily by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. About 200 days after inoculation a PrPSc strain-specific fragment development could be observed in the mouse brains. Inoculation with the FFI strain led to the development of the 19 kDa fragment, whereas the 21 kDa fragment was obtained only after inoculation with the sCJD strain. These results led to the conclusion that the conformation of the particular PrPSc strain serves as a template for the development of PrPSc and is therefore crucial to its strain-specific characteristics. Furthermore, these experiments indicate that the diversity of the different PrPSc strains is caused by the conformation of the strain generating new PrPSc.

In a recent publication, Leslie Orgel points to another aspect that might play a role in prion propagation: "secondary nucleation". Secondary nucleation can be observed, for example, in the enantiomorphic crystallization of supersaturated NaClO3 solutions. If the crystallization takes place under vigorous stirring, crystals of either pure D or pure L configurations are obtained. In contrast, "undisturbed" crystallization results in almost the same amount of D and L configurated molecules in the crystals. An explanation for this phenomenon is that stirring ruptures the primary crystal seed and the newly formed secondary nuclei. All developing crystals would then be "descendants" (or "clones") of the primary nucleus and would form before another primary nucleus of opposite chirality was generated. In the same way, certain details (strain specificities) of the pathology of prion diseases might be determined by secondary nucleation. Otherwise—as Orgel states—one could expect that an endogenously formed or exogenously supplied PrPSc nucleus would produce only one localized plaque. The more effective a hypothetical secondary nucleation is, the less localized the re-
sulting plaques would be. The number of developing nuclei would therefore determine the seriousness of the disease—as in the case of cancer where the severity of the disease depends on the tendency of metastasation of the primary tumor. Of course, secondary nucleation in the case of prion propagation has not yet been shown and will probably be very difficult to prove.

As discussed in greater detail later, other possibilities can explain the strain specificity of prion propagation, for example, the existence of a third component such as a strain-specific co-factor or protein X,[82] which is directly or indirectly involved in the propagation of PrPSc (see Section 5.2).

4. Epidemiology and Transmission

After close analysis of the pathogen the question arises, how are prion diseases transmitted. How could BSE in England reach epidemic proportions? What about the transmission from one species to the other? The risk of transmission of BSE to humans has, of course, important public, economic, and sanitary implications.

4.1. The Scrapie–Kuru Connection: a Historical Summary

Spongiform encephalopathies have been known since the 18th century. The oldest written record gives a description of certain peculiar behavior in sheep: at an early stage in the disease, disorientation and itching was observed in the affected animals: they scraped themselves sore at pales and trees; this is why the illness was called “scrapie” in the English-speaking world. In German-speaking countries the disease was named “Traberkrankheit”, which points to the fact that affected animals progressively lose control over their body in an advanced phase, move in an uncoordinated manner (“traben”), cannot support themselves on their hind legs, and finally perish in complete paralysis. Ways of transmission of the disease and the cause of the illness remained obscure for centuries, mainly because scrapie never developed into an economic problem. The rate of infection always remained quite low—an epidemic outbreak of the disease has never been registered to date. Nowadays it has almost completely vanished in Germany, whereas only a few cases are reported in Great Britain at regular intervals.

Scientific interest arose first in this century, when William Hadlow speculated about a possible connection between the human Kuru disease and scrapie.[83] Earlier, independent of scrapie, a series of unusually slowly degenerative diseases of the central nervous system in humans such as the Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD), Gerstmann–Sträussler–Scheinker syndrome (GSS), and Kuru had been described. Kuru broke out in epidemic dimensions in the Fore, a tribe in Papua–New Guinea, and was probably transmitted by religious cannibalistic rites. D. Carleton Gajdusek succeeded in an experimental transmission of this disease to chimpanzees by injection of brain material of patients who had died of Kuru.[84] In a similar way, CJD could be transmitted to chimpanzees. The remarkably long incubation period and the pathological pattern of this neurodegeneration by spongiosis were identical in all forms of this disease. Important information about the transmissibility of scrapie resulted from experiments by J. Cuillé and P. L. Chelle, who demonstrated in the thirties that scrapie can be transmitted to healthy sheep and goats.[87] Hamsters and mice were also prone to this disease; both species are used today on a large scale for animal tests to investigate prion diseases. Nevertheless, as we will discuss later (Section 5.1), the unrestricted transmission of TSE from one species to another is not possible.

4.2. BSE—from Bonemeal to Epidemic

Because of the limited occurrence, TSE diseases in the first instance were a subject of academic interest. This changed suddenly when the first case of BSE in England was histologically confirmed in 1986.[88] Fibrils isolated from the brains of BSE-infected cattle were shown to contain a scrapie-associated protein.[89] This report was immediately followed by others. The BSE epidemic in England had its climax between 1992 and 1993 with up to 3500 new cases per month; now it has dwindled to about 500 new cases per month (Figure 9). By April 1997 a total of more than 167 300 (source: Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food [MAFF], UK) BSE cases had definitely been confirmed in England.[90] The epidemic in cattle began when in the eighties the method of meat- and bonemeal production was changed in response to economic pressures. This feed, used mainly in cattle breeding, is produced in part from sheep cadavers. In the beginning of the eighties British companies modified the sterilization temperature, lowering it from 130°C to 110°C. Furthermore, extractions with organic solvents were no longer performed.[91] Whether the epidemic was induced by bonemeal contaminated with scrapie (that is, the disease was transmitted from sheep to cattle) or with BSE pathogens from spontaneously affected cattle themselves (and therefore not transmitted across species) can no longer be determined with certainty. In any case the spreading of the infectious agent through feed has been confirmed as the trigger for the BSE epidemic.[91, 92] The accumulated number of BSE cases in Switzerland, which was the main importer of British bonemeal until the feed ban (July 18, 1988) on the continent, also supports this argument. BSE was detected and confirmed worldwide in 12 countries (Table 2). Besides infection through feed, even newer indications suggest that other ways of transmission, mainly from mother to calf, might be possible.[93]

A current study of the course of the BSE epidemic in Great Britain from the very beginning of the first cases to a potential epidemiological development in the future came to the conclusion that by the end of 1994 new infections by contaminated feed were almost zero, and all new cases result from horizontal (maternal) transmission.[90] Absolute numbers of new infections by this form of transmission were so small that the epidemic would vanish by the year 2001 even without slaughtering programs. In September 1996 the British government used this study as an argument to interrupt their cattle slaughtering project, which they had initiated in order to bring the epidemic under control. However, this argument neglects another important result of the study—the incubation period. It takes about five years from infection to the outbreak of the first symptoms. Since most of the cattle are killed at an age of about two years, that is, long
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Figure 9. Confirmed cases \( n \) of BSE per month and year in England. The decline of the curve is traced back to the ban against meat- and bone-meal as feed and similar measures taken by the British government in 1988 and 1989. After the average incubation period of five years had passed, these measures probably led to the reduction of BSE cases.

Table 2. Appearance of BSE worldwide (data till March 1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of BSE cases</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of BSE cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>167321 [a]</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>5 [b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Falkland Islands</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


before the animal can be recognized as infected, infected and potentially infectious animals might get into the human food chain. Therefore absolute security can be given only if a reliable diagnosis that detects the disease long before clinical symptoms are seen is guaranteed, for example, by direct detection of the \( \text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}} \) form or the cattle prion protein (see Section 4.4).

4.3. Human Spongiform Encephalopathies

In the twenties the neurologist Hans-Gerhard Creutzfeldt\(^{[94]} \) from Kiel, Germany, and his colleague Alfons Jakob\(^{[95]} \) from Hamburg independently described a “strange disease of the central nervous system accompanied by remarkable anatomic findings”, which led to death after an unattractive decay of brain tissue and is now known as Creutzfeldt–Jakob Disease (CJD). In the year 1936 the neurologists Josef Gerstmann and Ernst Sträussler as well as the neuropathologist I. Scheinker from Vienna observed a very similar, although rather rare, syndrome in humans.\(^{[96]} \) They mainly observed impairments of the coordination. In contrast to CJD, in which a loss of memory and a progressive decline of intellect takes place in the beginning, the appearance of dementia in GSS patients only happens in the clinical phase. GSS is manifested by ataxia and other degeneration symptoms in the cerebellum. Histopathologically the two forms of disease, CJD and GSS, resemble each other: one could detect symptoms of degeneration connected with vacuoles ( = cavities in cells with fluids like proteins or fat) as well as amyloid depositions in the brain. Such depositions are known in Alzheimer’s disease as well, which, however, is not considered a prion disease. Fatal familial insomnia (FFI), another inheritable form of human prion diseases, was discovered by an American group around Pierluigi Gambetti and an Italian research group of Elio Lugaresi and Rossella Medori from the University of Bologna.\(^{[97]} \) FFI differs from the other forms in the effect that the patients suffer from sleep disturbances in the beginning, which are followed by dementia.\(^{[98]} \) An additional form of human prion diseases is the aforementioned Kuru disease. Among of the four human TSE forms, Kuru is the only one which is solely transmitted by infection.

4.3.1. Sporadic and Infectious Forms

Prion diseases show the remarkable characteristic that they can be caused by infections (exogenous origin) but can also originate sporadically or genetically (endogenous origin). Most cases of TSE in humans appear sporadically or are caused by transmission (for example, Kuru); however, there are cases for which genetic reasons are responsible for the outbreak of the disease as well. In humans 85% of the CJD cases occur sporadically, that is, the disease could not be traced back to a possible source of infection in these patients. A few CJD cases might be traced back to the infection with the CJD pathogen by mistake during medical treatment, for example in children who were treated with a growth hormone obtained from pituitary glands of deceased people\(^{[99, 100]} \) (today this hormone is produced by recombinant techniques). Patients who had to undergo neurosurgery were also exposed to the risk of this iatrogenic transmission; because it was not known that the prion pathogen is extraordinarily resistant towards standard sterilization techniques (see Section 3.1), CJD was transmitted in some cases by con-
taminated instruments used in neurosurgery. Besides the iatrogenic and sporadic cases about 10% of the familial cases have a genetic disposition, such as GSS and fatal familial insomnia (FFI).

### 4.3.2. Mutations in the Prn-p Gene Locus

Certain cases of CJD can have hereditary origin, too. Already in 1930, the physician F. Meggendorfer described hereditary cases of CJD in a family from Northern Germany. Many decades later the DNA extracted from a sample of brain tissue and conserved in celloidin was amplified by PCR and sequenced. In codon 178 within the Prn-p gene a GAC → AAG mutation appeared, which corresponds to an exchange of Asp178 for Asn.\(^{109}\) (Figure 10).
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**Figure 10.** Mutations and polymorphisms in the human Prn-p gene associated with the familial form of spongiform encephalopathies. The polymorphism at position 129 appears to be of special interest as valine or methionine can be detected in these plaques by specific antibodies.\(^{112}\)

Other mutations that were found to be associated with genetic dispositions are Ghu200 → Lys, Pro102 → Leu, Ala117 → Val, and Phe198 → Ser, as well as the insertion of additional octa-repeats within the human Prn-p gene in position 53.\(^{111}\) Position 129 appears to be of special interest as valine or methionine can appear at this site. John Collinge and his colleagues\(^{111}\) from St. Mary’s Hospital Medical School (London) observed a significant occurrence of Val129-homozygosity in patients who developed CJD after being treated with growth hormone from pituitary glands. At least 50% of the caucasian population is homozygous for Met129.

178 might lead to two abnormal isomers which differ in their conformation and in their pathogenic consequences. It is possible that the activation energy for the conformational change may be lowered in these mutants. Moreover, the polymorphism at position 129 also seems to be critical in a new variant of CJD, as discussed below.

The mutation Pro 102 → Leu also seems to be of great importance for the appearance of GSS. It was the first mutation identified in connection with familial prion diseases.\(^{107,108}\) Of eleven Japanese patients with GSS, all had leucine at position 102.\(^{109}\) The same mutation was also found in cases of GSS in a Jewish family.\(^{110}\) Interestingly, the proline at position 102 was found to be conserved in various species, which might point to an important role in the biological function of this protein (Figure 10). A rather unusual mutation within Prn-p was published in 1993 by a Japanese research group led by Tateishi: In one patient they found that codon 145, which normally encodes for tyrosine, was substituted by a stop-codon.\(^{111,111}\) Accordingly, the amyloid plaques of this patient, who had initially been diagnosed for Alzheimer’s disease, were analyzed to contain C-terminally truncated PrP fragments. These fragments thus lack elements of PrP thought to be important, such as glycosylation sites, a disulfide bond, and a GPI-anchor (see Figure 3). According to recent investigations, however, it seems that the normal allele participates in the pathological process of this familial TSE form because the C-terminus of PrP could also be detected in these plaques by specific antibodies.\(^{112}\)

Rather little has been published about genetic dispositions in other species. In sheep, for example, the polymorphisms at positions 136 and 171 are known to have an influence on the susceptibility to scrapie (analogously to the polymorphism at position 129 in the human gene locus). The resistance towards scrapie infection is significantly smaller in sheep with a Val136/Glu171 homozygosity than in animals with a homozygous Ala136/Arg171 genotype.\(^{111,12}\) All these genetically determined predispositions are inheritable. With respect to the infectivity of prions, however, it is irrelevant how the prion disease was triggered: the prion protein from an affected brain is infectious in any case.

#### 4.3.3. vCJD—a Link between BSE and CJD?

In early 1996 an English research group reported a form of CJD which had not been described until then.\(^{111}\) Patients affected by the new variant ("vCJD"); by April 1997 15 patients) indeed show the usual pathological characteristics of CJD, which, however, are remarkably modified. First, with an average age of 30 years the affected patients are relatively young. Second, the average mean time from the appearance of the first symptoms to death is about 15 months, which is more than twice as long as the corresponding period in normal CJD patients. Third, the amyloid depositions or plaques show, in addition to the spongiform alterations, a characteristic morphology that, however, are remarkably modified. First, with an average age of 30 years the affected patients are relatively young. Second, the average mean time from the appearance of the first symptoms to death is about 15 months, which is more than twice as long as the corresponding period in normal CJD patients. Third, the amyloid depositions or plaques show, in addition to the spongiform alterations, a characteristic morphology that had never been observed in any other case of CJD before (Figure 11). The "florid plaques" are similar to those found in Kuru patients and seem to be identical to those in Macaque apes infected with BSE.\(^{111}\) These and other characteristic features (for example, lack of anomalies in the EEG of vCJD patients, homozygosity for Met129) argue for a manifestation of a new
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TSE strain in humans, possibly in a direct connection with the BSE epidemic in England. Almost all vCJD patients are from England, only one patient has been reported from France. It is interesting to note that France had high import quota of British beef in the relevant period; thus, certainly, a large portion of potentially infected tissue came from England to France. Recent studies confirm suspicions that BSE can be transferred to humans. Collinge and his colleagues investigated the electrothoretic mobility of PrP\textsuperscript{res} from preparations of different TSE cases. They looked at the fraction of proteins with different degrees of glycosylation in particular (two, one, or no glycosylation; see Figure 3) and classified these "glycoform patterns" into four groups. According to this classification, type 1 and type 2 represent two different forms of sCJD. Type 3 appears only in iatrogenic cases of CJD, whereas in all vCJD cases the type 4 pattern, which had never been observed in any other CJD case, was found. BSE-affected cattle as well as macaques also showed the type 4 digestion pattern, a further indication for a causative connection between BSE and vCJD. This experimentally rather simple classification of CJD patients into four groups is, however, anything but undisputed. Parchi et al. recently reported that the method described by Collinge et al. only gave two classes of PrP\textsuperscript{res} in their hands. Moreover, Somerville et al. could show that the "glycoform pattern" of a TSE strain described by Collinge is not necessarily stable in laboratory animals. The fraction of differently glycosylated PrP\textsuperscript{res} shifts upon passage of the strain from animal to animal.

Closer examination of the clinical signs of vCJD raises questions about its uniqueness as well. It cannot be excluded with certainty that the striking pathological characteristics of vCJD had not already showed up before the outbreak of the BSE epidemic. Since the disease appeared so infrequently, it can be assumed that not every case of CJD was unmistakably diagnosed before the sensitization by BSE (see Section 4.4). It is quite conceivable that there were earlier cases of vCJD that were not recognized as such, because mainly younger patients are affected. Furthermore, the appearance of florid plaques as a clear morphological criterion for the discrimination between vCJD and other CJD forms is disputable. Recently a nonfamilial case of CJD could not definitely be classified as vCJD by the aforementioned criteria; nevertheless, the autopsy of the brain of the patient revealed florid plaques. As this patient had a neurological graft of dura mater a decade before, an iatrogenic transmission could not be excluded.

4.4. Diagnostic Methods

The safe diagnosis of BSE in living or symptom-free cattle is of utmost interest for economics and public health. Because of the potential threat to humans by vCJD, a diagnosis in humans is, of course, of particular importance. The aim is the development of a test to determine the status of the infection quickly, preferably by using blood or urine.

4.4.1. Present Diagnostic Methods

The early diagnosis of TSEs is restricted to the appearance of the first vague clinical symptoms or EEG anomalies, as well as the use of NMR-visualizing methods or invasive brain biopsy. In the latter method a sample of brain tissue is removed, incubated with proteinase K and then tested for the presence of proteinase-resistant PrP with an antibody. In positive cases (when the sample contains PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}) the brain biopsy is a relatively reliable method. To date, however, a negative diagnosis in the living organism remains difficult. A reliable diagnosis is only possible post mortem, based on the aforementioned pathological changes and immunohistological status in the brain tissue. Like in many other diagnostic systems, for example the detection of HIV, the basis of a sensitive test system would be an antibody that specifically recognizes PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}. It has, however, not yet been possible to develop such a pathogenic isoform-specific antibody. The available anti-PrP antibodies cannot distinguish between the cellular PrP form in healthy organisms and the scrapie form in the affected organism. In the immunohisto-chemical detection of PrP from brain slices one takes advantage of the different proteinase K sensitivities of the two protein isoforms. Proteins that can be detected by a PrP antibody after treatment with proteinase K reflect the amount of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} contained in the sample. However, this test is not very sensitive; in addition, the proteinase K sensitivity does not always correlate with the infectivity of a sample (Section 3.1).

4.4.2. New Diagnostic Attempts

During the last months a number of experiments were attempted, which might constitute the basis of a diagnostic test system. We would like to discuss two of them here. A Dutch group showed that the detection of scrapie-associated PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} in the tonsils of sheep is possible before the first clinical symptoms appear. In the examined group of six scrapie-infected sheep they detected PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} at the age of 10 months, about one year before the clinical manifestation of the disease. Whether this technique will work in other species is questionable. For example, in contrast to sheep, no infectivity is found in peripheral tissues in humans.
cattle; that means that by biopsy of tonsils a detection of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} would not be possible in cattle. In contrast, PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} was detected post mortem in the tonsils of a human vCJD case, as reported recently, although in only one case.\textsuperscript{1,24}

The group of Michael Harrington does not use PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} itself as a diagnostic basis, but a marker protein, which is contained in the cerebrospinal fluid of CJD patients. In 1986 he and his colleagues discovered by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and immunoblot that two proteins (designated p130 and p131) are found in CJD patients, which might serve as diagnostic markers.\textsuperscript{1,25} Recently, these proteins were identified as degradation products of 14-3-3 proteins, and antibodies directed against them were successfully used in an immunoblot for CJD diagnosis.\textsuperscript{1,26} Cerebrospinal fluid is taken from the spinal cord of patients and tested for the presence of the 14-3-3 protein by SDS-gel electrophoresis and immunoblot. The required antibody can be purchased, and the test can be carried out in every biochemistry lab within a few hours. Nevertheless, this test system has a few disadvantages: the appearance of the 14-3-3 proteins is an epiphenomenon, that is, besides CJD other diseases like herpes simplex encephalopathy lead to an increased occurrence of 14-3-3 protein in the cerebrospinal fluid. The specificity in the examined group of 71 patients was therefore only 88%. The results of the application of the test in cattle were barely conclusive: only a few animals have been tested to date, and moreover, these were not infected with BSE, but with TME. This experiment revealed higher specificity concomitant with decreased sensitivity—only six of nine infected cattle were diagnosed correctly. Finally, hitherto existing data indicate that the test works only at a relatively late stage of the disease, probably not before the first clinical symptoms appear. An effective diagnostic system should respond as soon as possible after infection, so that infected cattle can, for instance, be withdrawn from the market before the epidemic can spread further.

5. Transgenic Models

In many fields of biochemistry the establishment of transgenic animal models is widely accepted for the study of molecular-biological and pathophysiological problems. Also in the case of the research into prion diseases, transgenic mouse lines led to important breakthroughs and results. One of the first animal models for prion diseases was introduced in 1990 by Prusiner's laboratory. A mouse line was produced, into whose Prnp gene a point mutation corresponding to one of the human GSS point mutations (Pro102 → Leu; Figure 10) was introduced. As a consequence of this changed mouse genotype, it spontaneously developed neurological malfunctions, spongiform changes, and gliosis of astrocytes in the brain\textsuperscript{1,27}—the three classical characteristics of transmissible encephalopathies. It had thus been possible for the first time to produce a genetically determined prion disease in mice. This neurodegeneration, induced by mutation, is hard to distinguish from experimental murine scrapie. One variation, however, is seen in these samples, which have been prepared from brains of the affected transgenic mice: they are only weakly infectious, if at all.\textsuperscript{1,28} Nevertheless the transgenic "GSS mouse" not only was an important support for the protein-only hypothesis, it simultaneously opened up the exciting possibility of transferring experimental TSE studies from other species to mice. With the help of such transgenic mouse lines it is possible, for example, to examine species barriers.

5.1. Investigations of the Species Barrier

The transmission of spongiform encephalopathies from one species to the other happens, if at all, very inefficiently and with severely prolonged incubation periods. For example, when a mouse is inoculated with an infectious sample from hamster, the mouse stays alive for more than 500 days, whereas an infectious mouse sample, on average, leads to death after 140 days (Figure 12A,B). Similarly, if one tries to infect hamsters by infec-

![Figure 12. Species barriers and their conquest by the transgenic animal model.](image)

a) Mice inoculated with mouse prions die, on average, after 140 days. b) Most mice survive the inoculation with hamster prions by more than 500 days in good condition. This species barrier between mouse and hamster can also be observed if hamsters are used as test animals: c) Hamsters infected by mouse prions survive, on average, longer than 360 days, whereas d) they die after 75 days if hamster prions are used for inoculation. e) The species barrier can be overcome if a transgenic mouse that expresses hamster PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} is inoculated by hamster prions. (Based on data from refs. [130, 131] and modified according to reference [150].)
Deviations in the primary sequences of the mature form of PrP in selected species. The height of the columns represents the number of deviations (mutations) in the pair of species compared. The shorter a column, the more similar the PrP amino acid sequences of the two species. Whether the number of deviations between two species correlates with the extent of the species barrier is not yet clear. For clarity, the columns are drawn in different grey shades. (Based on data from ref. [129]).

5.2. The Cofactor for Prion-Propagation: Chaperoning the Prion?

Today the transgenic mouse model provides the most effective experimental possibility for analyzing species barriers in prion diseases. This model is especially suitable for examination of infection pathways to humans. Because of the species barrier, inoculation of mice with CJD material led to infection in only 5–10% of the animals after more than 500 days (Figure 14A). Unexpectedly the scrapie susceptibility does not change, if transgenic human-PrP mice are used (Figure 14B). Only on introduction of a chimeric PrP, which is constructed from murine and human sequences (amino acid 96 to 167 of human, N- and C-terminus of mouse), can the transgenic mouse be made susceptible for CJD material (Figure 14C).[81] Prusiner et al. concluded from this observation that still other species-specific factors must be involved in prion propagation. This assumption was supported by the following experiment with transgenic mice: transgenic human-PrP mice can become sensitive towards CJD by knocking out the mouse-PrP (Figure 14D).[82] Mice that express human PrP besides their own mouse PrP are protected against infection with human CJD material, whereas mice that express human PrP alone can be infected with CJD samples. Prusiner et al. deduced from these results the existence of a species-specific factor, which he designated as “protein X”. Protein X should therefore interact preferentially with the own PrP of the species and is required for the conversion. In the transgenic mouse murine PrP competes with the human PrP for binding to protein X, which preferentially binds murine PrP, with the result that no conversion of human PrP is possible. Only if the mouse PrP is knocked out as binding partner, can protein X bind to the less preferred human PrP and convert it, supported by the human PrP.

The not yet identified protein X might act as a molecular chaperone, which lowers the activation energy for the refolding of PrP and therefore facilitates the process of conversion. Molecular chaperons are highly conserved proteins, which are involved in the folding of proteins within cells.[132] A model organism for interactions of chaperones and prion proteins was found in yeast. Although baker’s yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae does not express prion proteins, it contains two genetic elements that can reproduce themselves without the participation of nucleic acids: [URE3] and [PSI+].[133] [PSI+] is a prion-like aggregation of the cellular yeast protein Sup35 and replicates in yeast depending on the presence of a chaperone (Hsp104).[135] An attempt was made to transfer these results to prion propagation in mammals. An interaction of hamster PrP with a molecular chaperone (Hsp60) was indeed discovered by applying the “Two-Hybrid” technology.[136] Experiments with scrapie-infected neuroblastoma cells in cell culture indicate that “chemical chaperones” (for example, DMSO or glycerine) might have an influence on the formation of PrPSc.[137] The final proof that a direct interaction of PrP with molecular chaperones is involved in the pathogenesis of TSE in mammals, however, is still missing.
5.3. Prion Knockout Mice

5.3.1. PrP is Necessary for Infection with Scrapie

The development of a mouse line in which the Prn-p gene is turned off was interesting in two respects. On one hand, one wanted to obtain clues for the regular biological function of PrP: if a specific deficiency of this PrP(0/0)-mice had been observed, conclusions might have been able to be made about the role of the missing prion protein. On the other hand, the PrP(0/0)-mouse provided proof of the protein-only hypothesis. An important consequence of this hypothesis is that no propagation of PrPSc is possible in hosts which lack PrP and therefore the PrP(0/0)-mouse would be resistant to infection with PrPSc. The PrP(0/0)-mouse line developed in Weissmann's laboratory was indeed found to be resistant to scrapie infection, whereas wild-type mice under the same treatment develop the disease and die on average 140 days after inoculation.\(^{[33]}\) In heterozygous Prnp(-/-) -mice the incubation period is prolonged to about 290 days; these animals are thus partially protected against scrapie infections. Therefore, the incubation period correlates with the amount of PrP which is expressed in the mouse brain. An important control experiment confirmed these results: the reconstitution of the Prn-p gene in Prn-p(0/0)-mice led to the restoration of the susceptibility to scrapie. By introducing several copies of the Prn-p gene a mouse line was obtained, which overexpressed PrP and was, as expected, even more susceptible to scrapie infections. These mice showed a markedly reduced incubation period (60 days) relative to that of the wild-type mouse.\(^{[63]}\)

In a collaborative effort the groups of Aguzzi and Weissmann applied another method to reintroduce the prion protein into knockout mice. Hereby, brain tissue from PrP-overexpressing mice was transplanted into PrP knockout mice, and these mice were used in infection studies.\(^{[38]}\) After intracerebral inoculation with scrapie samples, the grafts showed high amounts of PrPSc together with infectivity, as well as other pathological changes characteristic for TSE. Furthermore, measurable amounts of PrPSc had gotten from the graft into the brain of the host. Nevertheless, there were no pathological changes observed in the PrP-deficient tissue—not even within the immediate surroundings of the graft. The authors concluded from these results that exogenous PrPSc does not lead to damage of the brain tissue. In contrast, PrP fragment 106–126 is toxic to neurons cultivated in vitro.\(^{[39]}\) In the laboratory of Hans Kretzschmar, Institute for Neuropathology at the University of Göttingen, this neurotoxic effect was thoroughly analyzed. It was shown, for example, that neuronal cells of PrP-null mice did not die off in the presence of PrP 106–126.\(^{[1+0]}\) This result constituted another proof that the presence of PrP is important for the pathogenesis of prion diseases.

5.3.2. Biological Function of PrP

The production of knockout cell lines has turned out to be very effective for clearing up the unknown biological function of a protein.\(^{[1+1]}\) Unfortunately, the relationship between the cause (the knocked-out gene) and the effect (the phenotype) often cannot clearly be verified or has to be traced back to unspecific effects. This exactly seems to be the problem in current prion research. The PrP-knockout mouse introduced by the Weissmann group develops completely normally until the age of two years and does not show any peculiarities in behavior or neurological malfunctions.\(^{[33], [142]}\) Electrophysiological examinations performed by Collinge and co-workers led to the discovery of neuronal defects in the inhibition of synaptic GABA\(_a\) receptor\(^{[136]}\) (GABA = \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid, a neurotransmitter). This observation might explain the pathological effects resulting from the loss of functional PrP, but could not sufficiently be reproduced in another laboratory.\(^{[143]}\) The reason for such a discrepancy in observation of a phenotype might be attributed to the different genetic background of the mouse strains used. Species can react to the knockout of a particular protein with increased expression of other proteins, which might compensate for the knockout under certain circumstances. The genetic background of the organism is thought to play a crucial role in such compensating effects. Different mouse sublines might therefore react differently to the knockout of PrP-expression,\(^{[144]}\) which might explain the observed discrepancies.

In addition, another effect might have to be considered. Three research groups have reported on different phenotypes of PrP-knockout mice in the interim. Each of these knockout lines was developed by different targeting strategies. This resulted in minute differences in the genome of the knockout mouse in the vicinity of the knocked-out gene, which might be responsible for the observed deviations of the phenotype as well. For example, in the knockout mouse from the Weissmann laboratory the method chosen left part of the PrP-coding gene in. A Japanese group, on the other hand, inactivated the whole Prn-p gene and reported massive behavioral and neuropathological impairments in this mouse line.\(^{[37]}\) These mice had a very insecure movement; in contrast to the wild-type they were unable to follow a straight line. The reason seemed to be the loss of Purkinje cells in the cerebellum. Purkinje cells express huge amounts of PrP and use GABA as a neurotransmitter, which might be the link to the results of the Collinge group. Tobler and co-workers recently observed a changed circadian rhythm and sleeping disorders in PrP-deficient mice.\(^{[38]}\) This phenotype is interesting in so far as it resembles the symptoms of the human FFI (see Section 4.3). Here might be a connection to GABA as well, because GABA receptors are thought to be involved in the regulation of the circadian rhythm.\(^{[145]}\) To confirm the specificity of the observed effects they should be neutralized by the expression of PrP. Moreover, isogenic mice should be used for the development of knockout lines. It therefore remains to be seen whether a clear relation between cause and effect can be found for some of the promising approaches. Because in most cases physiological effects are mediated by protein–protein interactions, the study of interaction of PrP with other cellular proteins might prove quite helpful.

6. Open Questions

According to current understanding the pathogen of the transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSE) is a protein
that does not require a nucleic acid for infectivity and propagation. Proof of the protein-only hypothesis by the de novo generation of infectivity in vitro after incubation of PrP$^\text{C}$ with PrP$^\text{Sc}$ is still missing. The conversion of host PrP$^\text{C}$ into the pathogenic PrP$^\text{Sc}$ seems to be the central event in the propagation of TSE. It is possible that other not yet identified cofactors take part in this process, for example a "prion receptor" or other proteins or molecules, which interact with the prion protein. It is therefore important to identify possible prion–protein interactions and to examine whether they influence the conversion of the cellular into the scrapie isoform.

Both isoforms of the prion protein differ only in their conformation as determined by CD and IR spectroscopy. Whether differences in the three-dimensional structure of different prion strains exist within one species is not clear but has to be postulated, because of the present state of knowledge, to explain certain strain-specific characteristics of prion propagation. Therefore, structural studies are in the center of research efforts to shed light into the replication mechanism of prions. The structure of a mouse PrP$^\text{C}$ fragment identified by NMR shows the expected extended $\alpha$-helical regions besides two smaller antiparallel $\beta$-sheets, which might serve as a seed for the development of $\beta$-sheet structures in PrP$^\text{Sc}$. Whether the structure of the amino-terminally truncated fragment really contains all the important domains required for the prion replication remains to be seen. Structural elucidations of PrP$^\text{Sc}$ have also still not been achieved because of the difficulties connected with the low solubility of the protein.

The cell-free in vitro conversion of proteinase K sensitive PrP$^\text{C}$ into proteinase K resistant protein material was an important step in prion research, especially with respect to the current models for prion propagation. Although the conversion is strain-specific, it proceeds relatively inefficaciously and can currently be achieved only on use of a surplus of PrP$^\text{Sc}$. Whether the in vitro converted material is not only proteinase K resistant but also infectious cannot be answered yet. This question is of highest relevance for the verification of a hypothesis for prion propagation.

PrP-knockout mice are not susceptible to TSE and otherwise do not show any noticeable phenotype. The prion protein itself seems to be of no immediate benefit for the organism; the observations of the three different knockout cell lines, however, are contradictory. Maybe PrP$^\text{C}$ participates in synaptic processes. The identification of PrP–protein interactions might suggest functional relationships in this context. The transmission of TSE is affected by species barriers, which are manifested, for example, in extended incubation periods. The reasons for the barriers are thought to be the slight differences in amino acid sequences, which result in structural differences of prion proteins of individual species. The central question whether BSE can be transferred from cattle to humans cannot be examined directly. Macaques, however, can be infected by infectious BSE material accompanied by the development of not previously observed histological characteristics. These novel pathological changes seem to be identical with those of a new variant of Creutzfeld–Jakob disease (vCJD) in humans, which appeared in England just recently. A causal relation between the BSE epidemic and this new form of TSE in humans is quite probable.

7. Concluding Remarks

"It struck me recently that one should really consider the sequence of a protein molecule, about to fold into a precise geometric form, as a line of melody written in canon form and so designed by nature to fold back upon itself, creating harmonic chords of interaction consistent with biological function. One might carry the analogy further by suggesting that the kinds of chords formed in a protein with scrambled disulphide bridge, ... are dissonant, but that, by giving an opportunity for rearrangement, they modulate to give the pleasing harmonics of the native molecule. Whether or not some conclusion can be drawn about the greater thermodynamic stability of Mozart's over Schönberg's music is something I will leave to the philosophers of the audience."


In Anfinsen's visionary world of harmony between sequence and structure, dissonant overtones entered not only because of the results of the prion research. Experiments which were carried out with the denatured enzyme "Rubisco" (Ribulose biphosphate carboxylase oxygenase) showed that a spontaneous refolding into the correct structure merely by dilution with the renaturing buffer is not possible. The correct refolding can be achieved only in the presence of the chaperonin GroEL. Citrate synthetase from the mitochondria of pig hearts tends to self-aggregate if the enzyme is denaturated in guanidinium hydrochloride solution and is then diluted again. These and other examples show that not all proteins spontaneously fold into the thermodynamically most stable conformation, because, for example, they might be caught in a kinetic trap during the course of folding. Such proteins do indeed fold in Anfinsen's sense, but only within a protective environment.

If the protein-only hypothesis is correct, the situation seems to be different for the prion protein. It can exist in at least two, maybe even more, metastable structural states. The prion protein is the prototype of a structure-labile protein. By a relatively slight trigger, such as a single mutation in the Prn-p gene or contact with the smallest amounts of the incorrectly folded isoform, this lability is manifested in the refolding of the normal isoform. The change of one structure into the other characterizes the transformation of "prions" and their characteristics is proceeding very rapidly—this review describes the most important progress achieved until April 1997 (a deadline we imposed on ourselves, otherwise we would not yet have completed the article because of the breathtaking development of this research field). Nevertheless, we are far from understanding the function and characteristics of this partly frightening and partly fascinating protein.
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### Appendix I. Glossary of the most important abbreviations and medical terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>amyloid</td>
<td>pathological deposition of proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>amino acid(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSE</td>
<td>bovine spongiform encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>circular dichroism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerebrospinal fluid</td>
<td>lymphoid liquid present in the medulla, secreted by the brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chaperones</td>
<td>proteins that are involved in folding of cellular proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJD</td>
<td>Creutzfeld-Jakob disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>electroencephalogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFI</td>
<td>fatal familial insomnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>γ-aminobutyric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glia cells</td>
<td>cells of the connective tissue of the central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glosis</td>
<td>pathological proliferation of glia cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI</td>
<td>glycosyl phosphatidylinositol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSS</td>
<td>Gerstmann–Sträussler–Scheinker syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octa-repeat</td>
<td>repeating motif of eight glycine/proline-rich AA, characteristic for PrP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polymorphism</td>
<td>differences in the genotype within a single population that cannot be explained by naturally occurring mutation rates alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prion</td>
<td>abbreviation for proteinaceous infectious particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrP</td>
<td>prion protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrP&lt;sub&gt;27–30&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>fraction of proteins truncated at the N-terminal that have an electrophoretic mobility of 27–30 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrP&lt;sup&gt;ΔN&lt;/sup&gt;, PrP&lt;sup&gt;ΔC&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>pathogenic isoforms of PrP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purkinje cells</td>
<td>huge dendritic ganglia cells in the mid-layer of the cerebellum cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spongiosis</td>
<td>sponglike alteration in tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>transmissible spongiform encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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