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Cytohesins are cytoplasmic multidomain proteins that act as guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for small Ras-like GTPases called ADP-ribosylation factors (Arfs). Their Sec7 domain catalyzes the exchange of guanosine-5'-diphosphate (GDP) for guanosine-5'-triphosphate (GTP), which activates Arf proteins like Arf1 and Arf6. Mammalian cells contain four highly homologous cytohesins (cytohesins 1–4) that are implicated in cellular processes such as β2-integrin-mediated cell adhesion and actin dynamics, including Arf-mediated functions, namely membrane trafficking, vesicle transport, endocytosis, and more.[3] Moreover, cytohesin-2 (ARNO) is a cytoplasmic activator of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling by the insulin receptor (IR)[3] and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), respectively. ARNO and Arf6 also contribute to the disruptive effects of interleukin-1β (IL-1β) on endothelial stability by binding to the adaptor protein MYD88.[5] These discoveries were greatly aided by the availability of SecinH3, an inhibitor of the cytohesin Sec7 domain.[3b,6]

C-terminal to their Sec7 domain, cytohesins contain another functional domain called the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain (Figure 1a). Through their PH domain cytohesins are recruited to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane and intracellular membranes by binding either to the phosphatidylinositol phosphates PIP 2 or PIP 3, or to activated Arf6-GTP.[2] Cytohesin Sec7-dependent integrin activation in cell adhesion requires the presence of the PH domain, and the PH domain exerts a certain level of inhibition on the Sec7 domain that is relieved upon membrane recruitment and interaction with PIPs.[7] These findings indicate that the functions of the cytohesin Sec7 and PH domains may be tightly interconnected. Inspired by the usefulness of SecinH3 as a chemical biology tool for elucidating previously unknown functions of cytohesin Sec7 domains, we sought to identify a small-molecule inhibitor for cytohesin PH domains. Here we report the discovery of a class of cytohesin PH domain inhibitors called Cyplecksins (Cytohesin plecks trin homology domain inhibitors) that act by a covalent mechanism.

To develop an aptamer displacement assay for HTS, we first selected an RNA aptamer that bound the PH domain of cytohesin-1. After seven selection rounds, the enriched RNA library was cloned and sequenced, and clone 6.10 was identified as a cytohesin PH domain binder (Figure S1a in the Supporting Information (SI)). Clone 6.10 bound PH domains of cytohesins 1, 2, and 3 with $K_d$ values between 0.3 and 0.7 mM, whereas no binding to the Sec7 domain and to related PH domains could be detected (Figure S1b,c (SI)).

Figure 1. Aptamer displacement assay based on RiboGreen fluorescence capture. a) Domain structure of cytohesin-2. CC: Coiled coil; PBR: polybasic region. b) The immobilized cytohesin is incubated with the aptamer and small molecules. Nonbinding molecules are removed by a buffer wash. Remaining bound aptamer is detected by RiboGreen fluorescence (bottom right); reduced fluorescence is obtained upon aptamer displacement by the small molecule (top right). c) Representative primary screening plate with a hit compound (black dot; no. 11). Positive controls (dark gray dots; nos. 87–90) lacked cytohesin-1 coating; negative controls (light gray dots; nos. 84–86) did not contain any compound. d) Chemical structures of the most active hit compounds, derivatives of 5-bromopyrimidine-2,4,6-trione.
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The aptamer also inhibited the binding of cytohesins to \( \text{PIP}_2 \)-doped liposomes in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure S1d (SI)). Binding and inhibition required the presence of the triphosphate group at the 5′-end of the aptamer.

The aptamer displacement screen was established as illustrated in Figure 1b. 96-well screening plates were coated with cytohesin-1 PH, and incubated with aptamer 6.10 in the presence of compound. Small molecules that compete with 6.10 for binding lead to a reduced level of aptamer complexation to the immobilized protein, whereas noncompeting compounds do not (Figure 1b; middle). The plates are washed with buffer to remove nonbound aptamer, and then incubated with RiboGreen, a dye that exhibits an increase in fluorescence that is directly proportional to the amount of nucleic acid. Low fluorescence indicates a compound that has displaced the bound aptamer from its target protein (for possible false-positive scenarios see Figure S2 (SI)). A chemical library of roughly 12,000 diversity-based druglike small molecules was screened to identify potential cytohesin PH domain inhibitors. The assay quality parameter \( Z' \) was 0.69, which is compatible with HTS conditions (Figure 1c and Figure S3 (SI)). The screening revealed the series of substituted 5-bromopyrimidine-2,4,6-triones 1–3 that competed with 6.10 for cytohesin PH domain binding (Figure 1d).

We next performed microscale thermophoresis measurements to quantify the binding of Cylekssins 1–3 and the related derivatives 4 and 5 (Figure 2a), which lack the 5-bromo substituent, to the Alexa647-labeled cytohesin-1 PH domain (Figure 2b). Increasing concentrations of 1–3 led to sigmoidal binding curves corresponding to \( K_d \) values around 2 \( \mu \text{M} \). In contrast, neither 4 nor 5 showed any binding to the cytohesin-1 PH domain. To test the specificity of 1–3 for other PH domains we carried out the same binding test using the Alexa647-labeled DH-PH domain of the “T-lymphoma invasive and metastasis inducing protein 1” (Tiam1). No concentration-dependent change in thermophoresis was detected, indicating that the PH domain of the Tiam1 fragment is not recognized by 1–3 (Figure 2c). We then investigated whether Cylekssins 1–3 compete with \( \text{PIP}_2 \) binding to the cytohesin-1 PH domain in vitro (Figure 2d). We used PIP, labeled with tetramethylrhodamine (TMR) and measured fluorescence polarization (FP) in the presence of increasing concentrations of 1–5. The complex between TMR-PIP and the cytohesin-1 PH domain leads to higher levels of FP than the free TMR-PIP. Indeed, increasing Cylekssin concentrations led to inhibition of TMR-PIP binding to the cytohesin-1 PH domain with \( IC_{50} \) values below 10 \( \mu \text{M} \). Derivatives 4 and 5 again were inactive in this assay. The same experiment was done using the PH domains of GEP100, another Arf6-GEF (Figure 2e), and of DAGK, ARHGAP25, IRS1, Pleckstrin, and full-length Akt2 (Figure S4 (SI)). Cylekssins 1 and 2 either did not interfere at all with the binding of TMR-PIP, or TMR-PIP binding to these proteins, or exhibited only weak inhibition with \( IC_{50} \) values at least above 100 \( \mu \text{M} \). In the latter cases the precise \( IC_{50} \) values could not be determined because compound concentrations beyond the solubility limit would have been required. Only Cylekssin 3 showed a somewhat less specific inhibitory profile.

Cylekssins 1–3, but not 4 and 5, also inhibited the binding of PIP, to cytohesin-1 PH, and to nearly full-length cytohesins-1 and -2 that lacked only the short polybasic region (Figure S5 (SI)). Taken together, these data indicate that Cylekssins 1 and 2 exhibit a high degree of specificity for the PH domain of cytohesins.

The lack of inhibitory activity of the Cylekssins analogues 4 and 5 suggests that the bromine substituent in 1–3 is crucial for binding and inhibition. In aqueous solution, Cylekssins slowly hydrolyze within several hours into mixtures of derivatives including those that result from ring opening (data not shown). In the presence of amines, however, a substitution of the bromine for the amine occurs in related 5-methyl- or 5-phenyl-substituted pyrimidine-2,4,6-triones. This suggests that upon binding of Cylekssins to their binding site in the cytohesin PH domain, a substitution reaction may occur, in which either the C9 in 1–3 is attacked by a nucleophile (lysine or cysteine) to displace the Br atom, or one of the carbonyl C atoms in the heterocycle is attacked in a ring-opening reaction. In any case, both mechanisms should lead to a covalent attachment of Cylekssins to the cytohesin PH domains.
To test this hypothesis, we synthesized the probe 6, a biotinylated analogue of Coplecksin-1 (Figure 3a). The non-brominated variant 7 was used as a negative control. We then incubated the cytohesin-1 PH domain, full-length cytohesin-1, and the Sec7 domain of cytohesin-2, to which no binding of 6 should occur, with increasing concentrations of 6 and 7. After denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and western blotting, covalently attached biotin groups in the proteins were detected with neutravidin. Indicative of the modification of the cytohesin-1 PH domain with the biotinylated Coplecksin-1 variant 6.

As a first step to identify the Coplecksin-reactive nucleophile in the protein, the cysteine residues of cytohesin-1 PH were alkylated by iodoacetamide. Neither the binding of TMR-PIP2 nor its inhibition by Coplecksins 1–3 were affected by the treatment, arguing against cysteine residues being the sites of the covalent attachment of Coplecksins (Figure S6 (SI)). To unambiguously identify the binding mode and binding site of Coplecksins a combined mass spectroscopic/crystallographic approach would be required which, however, is beyond the scope of this work.

To analyze whether Coplecksins inhibit membrane targeting of cytohesin-2 PH, nanodisks were used as a membrane surrogate. Cytohesin-2 PH bound only to PIP2-doped nanodisks, indicating that nanodisks are suitable to reliably detect the PIP2-dependent membrane recruitment of cytohesins (Figure 4a). Coplecksins 1–3 but not the control compounds 4 and 5 inhibited the binding.

Having shown that Coplecksins inhibit the binding of cytohesin PH domains to PIP2/PIP3 phospholipids by a covalent mechanism in vitro we next sought to test the activity of these compounds in living cells. When HeLa cells are stimulated with insulin, insulin receptor (IR)-dependent signaling cascades lead to production of PIP3, which stimulates the translocation of cytohesins to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane by means of their PH domains. To analyze the effect of Coplecksins on this process, we transfected HeLa cells with a cytohesin-2 construct that was fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Figure 4b, top row, Cyth2-GFP). The cells were then analyzed for membrane recruitment of cytohesin-2 GFP by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Without insulin stimulation almost no Cyth2-GFP can be detected at the membrane (Figure 4b, column 1) but after insulin stimulation, Cyth2-GFP translocates to the membrane (white arrows, column 2) and colocalizes with membrane proteins stained by wheat germ agglutinin (white arrows, merge, column 2). In the presence of 50 μM Coplecksins 1, 2, or 3, however, no insulin-dependent Cyth2-GFP translocation to the plasma membrane can be detected (columns 3–5). In contrast, the inactive Coplecksin analogues 4 and 5 at similar concentrations have no influence on the membrane recruitment of Cyth2-GFP (columns 6 and 7). The analysis of Cyth2-GFP membrane recruitment in a large number of cells revealed a statistically highly significant inhibition by Coplecksins 1–3 (Figure 4c). These results clearly demonstrate that Coplecksins 1–3 effectively inhibit the binding of PIP2 to cytohesin PH domains also in the context of living cells.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the methodology of aptamer displacement screening is feasible for identifying small-molecule inhibitors of the cytohesin PH domain. Aptamer-directed screening assays have previously led to useful druglike inhibitors.21b,c Our screening assay, based on RiboGreen detection, revealed a series of substituted 5-bromopyrimidine-2,4,6-triones 1–3, termed Coplecksins, which inhibit phospholipid binding to PH domains of cytohesins. Within the subset of PH domains of other proteins tested here, Coplecksins exhibited high selectivity for cyto-
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**Figure 3.** Coplecksins bind covalently to cytohesins a) Chemical structure of biotinylated Coplecksin-2 analogues 6 and 7. b) Increasing concentrations of 6 and its inactive analogue 7 incubated with cytohesin-1 PH domain (top panel), full-length cytohesin 1 (Cyth1-fl; middle panel), and cytohesin-2 Sec7 domain (bottom panel), analyzed by denaturing PAGE. Covalently bound biotin is detected by neutravidin and total protein by an anti-His antibody. Cytohesin-1 PH domain and full-length cytohesin-1, but not the cytohesin-2 Sec7 domain, were found to be biotinylated. In all experiments, compound 7 was inactive. c) Competition of biotinylated adduct formation by 6 using Coplecksins 1–5. Coplecksins 1–3, but not 4 and 5, competed with 6 for binding to the cytohesin-1 PH domain; analysis was the same as in (b).

formation of the covalent adduct, cytohesin-1 PH and full-length protein both showed concentration-dependent biotinylation with 6 but not with 7, whereas cytohesin-2 Sec7 remained unmodified (Figure 3b).

To further substantiate this result, we tested whether the biotinylation of the cytohesin-1 PH domain with 6 was competed by non-biotinylated Coplecksins 1–3. At concentrations of 50 μM or higher, Coplecksins 1–3 resulted in a marked reduction of the biotinylation by 6, and at 5 μM a reduction was already detectable. Neither 4 nor 5 were able to compete with 6 for cytohesin-1 PH domain binding, not even at 100 μM concentrations (Figure 3c). Altogether, these results can only be explained by a specific covalent
hesin PH domains, but did not discriminate within the cytohesin family. In this respect, Cyplecksins behave similar to SecinH3, a Sec7 domain-specific cytohesin inhibitor that targets cytohesins 1–3 but not other Sec7 domains. Whether Cyplecksins are cytohesin-specific over the entire human proteome, which contains more than 250 proteins with PH domains,[12] remains to be investigated.

PH domains are widely distributed within the proteomes of higher organisms.[13] They share a common and highly conserved three-dimensional architecture without similarities in their primary sequence. Besides the common structural elements, PH domains contain variable loop regions that connect antiparallel β-sheets within a β-sandwich motif, and often contribute to ligand specificity to some extent.[14] Recently it was shown that certain PH domains possess the specificity required for discriminating between various inositol pentakisphosphate isomers, while others could not.[15] These structural differences may allow for recognition of...

**Figure 4.** Cylepicksins inhibit the binding of cytohesin-2 PH to PIP_2-containing nanodiscs and insulin-induced translocation of cytohesin-2-GFP to the plasma membrane in HeLa cells. a) Cytohesin-2 PH tagged with a streptavidin-binding peptide was incubated with 100 µM Cylepicksin 1–3 or the inactive analogues 4 and 5, and subsequently with PIP_2-containing nanodisks. After incubation, cytohesin-2 PH was enriched by pull-down on StrepTactin beads. Cytohesin-2 PH and the co-enriched nanodisk scaffold protein MSP1D1 were visualized by Coomassie staining after PAGE. T: total protein; PD: pull down. b) HeLa cells were transfected with cytohesin-2-GFP and treated with DMSO and 50 µM Cylepicksins 1–3 or the inactive analogues 4 and 5. Membrane recruitment was detected by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Arrows point to membrane-recruited cytohesin-2-GFP (Cyth2-GFP, top row) that colocalizes (bottom row) with stained membrane (row 3). For enlarged micrographs, see Figures S7–S9 (SI). c) Statistical analysis of membrane translocation of Cyth2-GFP in HeLa cells (n = 3, counted cells > 150) in percent. *** p < 0.001. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
specific PH domains by a given inhibitor despite the overall similarity of this domain. Another study reported the identification of PITenins, a class of (thio)urea derivatives that inhibited PIP_2-binding of the Akt-PH domain, from the screening of roughly 50,000 compounds. PITenins exhibited $K_d$ values of 20–40 μM towards a distinct subset of PIP_2-specific PH domains, and affected cytohesin PH domain binding to PIP_2 with even lower affinities.

A remarkable feature of the Cylecskins described here is the fact that they are covalent inhibitors. To our knowledge, Cylecskins represent the first example of covalent inhibitors for PH domains, and even for any kind of GEF protein. This feature not only has potential advantages with respect to the prospect of future drug development of this class of compounds, particularly regarding prolonged pharmacodynamics, selectivity, and potency.[17] It is also an important property that will facilitate the use of Cylecskins as research tools to further elucidate the biological function of cytohesins, especially the interplay between their Sec7 and PH domains, including structure-functional analysis, binding-site determination, and rational design.[18] Moreover, it will now become possible to chemically couple Cylecskins with the cytohesin Sec7-domain-specific small-molecule inhibitors of the Secin class.[6,19] This might allow the simultaneous targeting of two distinct domains of the cytohesin family, thus opening up exciting new avenues in developing greatly improved cytohesin inhibitors for the validation of this interesting class of proteins as drug targets.
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[9] A third option is an elimination of the substituent at position 5. This would lead to compound 4 in which the electrophilic carbon in the Michael system is attacked by a nucleophile in the PH domain. However, this mechanism can be excluded because compound 4 was found inactive in all our assays.